



For Office Use only:		
Date		
Ref		

## Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

### Publication Draft - Representation Form

#### PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

\* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	Mr	
First Name	██████████	
Last Name	Trenaman	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1	██	
Line 2	██	
Line 3	Leeds	
Line 4		
Post Code	LS28 ██████	
Telephone Number	████████████████████	
Email Address	██	
Signature:	██	Date: 31/03/14

#### Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district. Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

**PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.**

**3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?**

Section	3, 4 and 5	Paragraph	Key Diagram –Location Strategy and Key page 66/7, 4.1.3, 5.3.22, 5.3.34, 5.3.35, 5.3.37, 5.3.42, 5.3.61, Appendix 6 Table 1 page 358, Appendix 6 Paragraph 1.9 Page 363	Policy	Sub-Area Policy BD1 C 1., Sub- Area Policy BD2 E and Policy HO2 B 2.
---------	------------	-----------	--	--------	--

**4. Do you consider the Plan is:**

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
4 (2). Sound	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

**5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

I am making this representation as I cannot accept the need to destroy such a large area of Green Belt to provide housing and I believe that it is not consistent with national policy. The plans as outlined would remove the defensible lines (which currently exist at Westgate Hill, Holme Lane and Ned Lane) which would no doubt allow the continued encroachment eating into the vital lung between Bradford and Leeds. While it may be more expensive to recycle brown field sites the cost of this would be far outweighed by the social cost of destroying this area of countryside which is used extensively by many people and would be a great loss to all communities within the area and I think this is an area which should be looked at in greater detail before considering this plan. The towns of Tong and Fulneck have historical significance and we should be protecting their unique character by protecting the urban landscape which surrounds them/

**6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).**

**You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

I am unable to set out any modifications to make this plan legal as I cannot think of any decent reason why we would wish to destroy this beautiful area.

***Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.***

*Please be as precise as possible.*

*After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.*

**7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?**

<b>No</b>	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

**8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:**

*Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.*

**9. Signature:**

**Date:**

31/03/14